



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/639,677

08/13/2003

Fernando Cuervo

ALC 3414

6127

76614

7590

07/07/2009

Kramer & Amado, P.C.

1725 Duke Street

Suite 240

Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

SURVILLO, OLEG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2442

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/07/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/639,677	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CUERVO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> OLEG SURVILLO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2442	

**All Participants:**

(1) Oleg Survillo, Examiner AU 2442.

(2) Patrick Wamsley, Reg. No: 59,241.

**Date of Interview:** 16 June 2009

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

Marchand and Williams

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andrew Caldwell/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On June 16 examiner faxed applicant's attorney a copy of proposed claim amendments that would overcome Marchand and Williams references and place the application in condition for allowance.

On June 18 applicant's attorney responded by suggesting alternative language instead of proposed "direct contact", but otherwise authorizing examiner's proposed amendments. Examiner indicated that alternative language such as "linked" or "coupled" may not be sufficient to overcome cited art.

On June 19 applicant's attorney responded by suggesting alternative language "dynamic trusted policy association" instead of proposed "direct contact". No agreement has been reached whether such alternative language would be sufficient to overcome cited art. Examiner indicated that further action will be taken on the case.